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Failure to Conform to Medicare Signature Requirements
Can Be Hazardous to Your (Practice) Health

First convened in September 2007, the Chiropractic Summit represents leadership from some 40 organizations within the profession. The Summit meets regularly to collaborate, seek solutions, and support collective action to address challenges with the common goal of advancing chiropractic.

A major focus of the Summit has been to improve practitioner participation, documentation, and compliance within the Medicare system. The article that follows involves Medicare signature requirements and is the tenth in a series developed by the Chiropractic Summit Documentation Committee.

"I thought my records were excellent," said Doctor Joe. "So I wasn’t worried when I got the letter from CMS requesting copies of several patient records.

"I photocopied all the required records and sent them in. Imagine my shock when I got the results of the audit back from CMS! "Here's what they said:

"Based on the medical documentation you submitted, we have found that some services you billed to Medicare were not reasonable and necessary, as dictated by the Medicare statute, or did not meet other Medicare coverage requirements. Of the 65 services reviewed, 61 were determined to be Not Medically Necessary – **Reasons for Error - Missing or illegible provider signature.** Medical record documentation must support the services billed according to Medicare guidelines, the medical necessity of the services, and be legible in order for the Medicare contractor to complete a fair review. In cases where the provider signature is illegible, a signature log or attestation statement should be sent. If the documentation is missing a provider signature, an attestation statement must be included with the submitted documentation."

"I immediately met with my staff and explained we would have to operate differently. This is what we did—first we checked our local Medicare contractor’s website for the Chiropractic Local Coverage Determination (LCD) and signature policy. We also checked the policy from CMS and found this information:

General Information

- Medicare requires that documentation of services provided to a patient is authenticated, with the appropriate provider’s signature, in the patient health record.
- Handwritten and/or electronic signatures are acceptable.
- A signature is a mark or sign by an individual on a document to signify knowledge, approval, acceptance, or obligation.
- Stamp signatures are not acceptable.
- You must be familiar with your Local Coverage Determination (LCD) policy on authenticating records, as these policies will take precedence over the guidelines below.
- If your LCD does not have specific signature requirements regarding the legibility and presence of a signature, your contractor will follow the guidelines below to determine the identity and credentials of the signator.

Guidelines for Determining the Identity and Credentials of a Provider

- If, in the course of a patient health record review, a signature is found to be illegible, Medicare contractors will look for a signature log or attestation statement to determine the identity of the provider.
A signature log includes a list of the typed or printed name(s) of the author(s) of the associated initials or illegible signature(s).

The signature log can be included on the page where the initials or signature are present, or may be in a separate document.

Although a reviewer may encourage providers to list their credentials in the signature log, a claim should not be denied if the log is missing a provider’s credentials.

All signature logs should be considered regardless of the date the log was created.

Attestation to a Signature’s Validity

- Providers can include an attestation statement in the documentation they submit.
- Only the author of the medical record can attest to the record in question.
- Attestations will be accepted by reviewers regardless of the date of the attestation, except in those cases where the regulations or policy indicate that a signature must be in place prior to a given event or a given date. For example, if a policy states the physician must sign the plan of care before therapy begins, an attestation can be used to clarify the identity associated with an illegible signature but cannot be used to “backdate” the plan of care.
- CMS recommends that, rather than backdating a patient health record, providers should use the signature authentication process explained below.
- In some situations, a provider may be contacted by a contractor and asked to submit an attestation statement or signature log. Providers will have 20 calendar days from the date of the contractor’s call, or the date that the request letter is received by the post office, to provide the information.
- To be valid for Medicare medical review purposes, the attestation statement must be signed and dated and contain sufficient information to identify the beneficiary. An example is included below:

  “I, _____ [print full name of the physician/practitioner] ___, hereby attest that the medical record entry for _____ [date of service] ____ accurately reflects signatures/notations that I made in my capacity as _____ [insert provider credentials, e.g., M.D.] ____ when I treated/diagnosed the above listed Medicare beneficiary. I do hereby attest that this information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and I understand that any falsification, omission, or concealment of material fact may subject me to administrative, civil, or criminal liability.”

"I also accessed the profession’s united website, www.chirosummit.org, where I found a direct link to all the CMS requirements and a lot of other information about documentation. With all this new information, I feel comfortable that, if audited again, I will pass with flying colors."
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